

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,061 12/02/2003		Scott Jacobs	130136 9480		
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Law Offices of John S. Munday PO BOX 423 Isanti, MN 55040			NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/727,061		JACOBS, SCOTT				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Camtu T. No		3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 December 2003</u> .							
2a)☐ This actio	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this closed in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Paper		drawn from con						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Refere 2) Notice of Drafts 3) Information Disc Paper No(s)/Mai	oerson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	3) B/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adell (U.S. Patent No. 5,406,963) in view of Tucker (U.S. Patent No. 6,045,359). Adell discloses in Figures 1-5 a mouthguard (10) which generally is a U-shaped for fitting into the mouth and comprising wall (14) and trough (16, 18) on opposite sides. The mouthguard (10) includes series of holes (20) extending through wall (14). It is on the mouthguard (10) that a liner (12) is molded directly thereon by injection molding process. The liner (12) material is Elvas (ethylene/vinyl acetate copolymer). Adells' mouthguard does not have a tab extending out therefrom, as recited. Tucker discloses in Figures 1-5 a dental impression tray comprising elements as recited in these claims including an integral handle (11). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Adell's mouthguard to include an integral handle as suggested by Tucker for the purpose of providing proper placement when inserting the device into the patient's mouth.

Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adell (U.S. Patent No. 5,406,963), as modified above, and further in view of Kagekata et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,848). Adell, as modified above, discloses in Figures 1-5 a mouthguard

Art Unit: 3743

comprising elements as recited in these claims except for the gel is of the material, as recited.

Kagekata et al teaches a mouthguard composition containing styrene block copolymer.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the composition as taught by Kagakata as such would offer high durability, impact absorption and superior in tear strength.

Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adell (U.S. Patent No. 5,406,963), as modified above, and further in view of Wagner et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,776,792). Adell, as modified above, discloses in Figures 1-5 a mouthguard comprising elements as recited in these claims except for the gel is of the material, as recited. Wagner et al discloses in Figures 1-6 a composite arch-shaped tray comprising elements set forth in these claims including outer flange (2), inner flange (3) projecting in the same direction from opposite edges of the tray bottom (4). The bottom of the tray (4) having a plurality of apertures (6) for engaging the thermoplastic material filler pad (5) thereon. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the filler pad taught by Wagner et al in Adell's moughuard as such would provide strength to remain attached to the mouthguard's bottom.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0537. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Camtu Nguyen August 8, 2004

Henry Bannett

77700